

Accelerating Real-Time Shading with Reverse Reprojection Caching

Diego Nehab¹ Pedro V. Sander² Jason Lawrence³ Natalya Tatarchuk⁴ John R. Isidoro⁴

> ¹Princeton University ²Hong Kong University of Science and Technology ³University of Virginia ⁴Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

Motivation



Motivation





Previous work

Dedicated hardware

- Address Recalculation Pipeline [Regan and Pose 1994]
- Talisman [Torborg and Kajiya 1996]
- Image based rendering
 - Image Warping [McMillan and Bishop 1995]
 - Post Rendering 3D Warp [Mark et al. 1997]

Previous work

• Interactivity for expensive renderers

- Frameless rendering [Bishop et al. 1994]
- Render Cache [Walter et al. 1999]
- Holodeck/Tapestry [Simmons et al. 1999/2000]
- Corrective Texturing [Stamminger et al 2000]
- Shading Cache [Tole et al. 2002]

Our approach

• Explore coherence in real-time rendering

Recompute

Our approach

• Explore coherence in real-time rendering



Requirements

- Load/reuse path must be cheaper
- Cache hit ratio must be high
- Lookup/update must be efficient



First insight

• Cache *only* visible surface fragments

- Use screen space buffer to store cache
- Output sensitive memory
- Keep everything in GPU memory
- Leverage hardware Z-buffering for eviction

Cache hit ratio



Cache hit ratio results



Second insight

- Use reverse mapping
 - Recompute scene geometry at each frame
 - Leverage hardware filtering for lookup





[Walter et al. 1999]

Third insight

Do not need to reproject at the pixel level
Hard work is performed at the vertex level

Address calculation





Hit or miss?

Time t cached depth expected depth

- Load cached depth
- Compare with expected depth

Third insight

- Do not need to reproject at the pixel level
- Hard work is performed at the vertex level
 - Pass old vertex coords as texture coords
 - Leverage perspective-correct interpolation
 - One single final division within pixel shader

What to cache?

• Slow varying, expensive computations

- procedural albedo
- Values required in multiple passes
 color in depth of field or motion blur
- Samples within a sampling process
 - amortized shadow map tests

Refreshing the cache

- Cached entries become stale with time
 - View dependent effects, repeated resampling
- Implicit (multipass algorithms)
 - Flush entire cache each time step
- Random updates
 - Refresh random fraction of pixels
- Amortized update
 - Combine cache with new values at each frame



3 passes

60fps brute force

Reuse albedo in multipass

- For each time step
 - Fully compute albedo in first pass
 - For each remaining pass
 - Lookup into first pass and try to reuse



3 passes

60fps brute force



60fps cached 30fps brute force 6 passes



14 passes

30fps cached

Randomly distributed refresh





1/4th updated



Error plot

Amortized super-sampling

- Cache updated by recursive filter rule $C_{t+1} = \lambda C_t + (1 - \lambda)s_{t+1}$
- Lambda controls variance reduction...

$$rac{\operatorname{var}(C)}{\operatorname{var}(s)} = rac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} < 1$$

• ...but also the lifespan

$$\tau(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\ln \lambda}$$

Trade-offs



 λ

Variance reduction at work









Reusing shadow map tests

- At each frame, perform new shadow tests
- Read running sum from cache
- Blend the two values
- Update cache and display results

Variance reduction at work









Variance reduction at work



16 tap PCF



4 tap amortized

Conclusions

- Shading every frame anew is wasteful
- We can reuse some of the shading computations from previous frames
- Use reverse reprojection caching to do that in real-time rendering applications
- Less work per frame = faster rendering

Future work

- Track surface points and select shader level of detail based on screenspace speed
- Change refresh rate per pixel based on rate of cached value change
- Use code analysis to automatically select appropriate values to cache