Comparing Reyes and OpenGL on a Stream Architecture

John D. Owens Brucek Khailany Brian Towles William J. Dally

Computer Systems Laboratory Stanford University





Motivation







Frame from *Quake III Arena* © id Software, 1999

Frame from *3DMark2001* © madonion.com, 2001

Frame from A Bug's Life © Pixar Animation Studios, 2000

- Special-purpose processors: great performance
- General-purpose processors: great flexibility
- As graphics architects, how do we get both?

OpenGL and Reyes

- OpenGL: Prevalent in realtime graphics systems today
 - Designed for high-performance real-time implementations
- Reyes: Architecture to implement RenderMan
 - Designed for high-quality, non-realtime rendering
- Streaming framework for rendering enables implementation and comparison of both pipelines



Frame from *Monsters Inc.* © Pixar Animation Studios, 2001

Summary

- Rendering in streaming framework provides flexibility and performance
- OpenGL and Reyes are both streaming apps
 - Focus of paper: comparison between two
- Contributions of paper:
 - Streaming framework for rendering
 - Enables multiple pipelines / hybrid pipelines
 - Algorithms for streaming implementation
 - Quantitative comparison between OpenGL and Reyes

Previous Work

- OpenGL: Segal and Akeley '99
- Reyes: Cook/Carpenter/Catmull '87
 - RenderMan: Upstill '90, Apodaca and Gritz '00
- Programmability and rendering:
 - Shade trees: Cook '84
 - Programmable pipeline: Olano '98 (dissertation)
 - RenderMan on OpenGL: Peercy et al. '00
 - OpenGL with streams: Owens et al. '00
 - Real-Time Shading Language: Proudfoot et al. '01
 - Smash: McCool '01

The Stream Programming Model

Streams

- Ordered sets of data elements of the same datatype
- Datatype can be compound

Kernels

- Perform computation
- Inputs/outputs are streams
- Typical operation: apply function to each element in stream
- Can be chained together
- Expose parallelism





Programming Model Details

Limited control flow

- Goal: Exploit data-level parallelism
 - SIMD (single-instruction, multiple-data)
- Requirement: Simple control
 - Primary control structure: loop
 - No branches
- Conditional streams allow data-dependent operation

Kernels operate only on local data

- Goal: Fast kernel execution
- Requirement: Data must be close to functional units
 - Must structure program to avoid global accesses within kernels
 - No pointers, no global arrays within kernels

The Imagine Stream Processor



[Khailany et al., IEEE Micro Mar/Apr '01]

Implementation

- Input stream divided into "batches"
- Batch loaded from memory to SRF
- Series of kernels run on input batch
- Output written back to memory



[Owens et al., Graphics Hardware '00]

Why Stream Processing?

• Graphics tasks are stream tasks

- Exploit parallelism, producer-consumer locality
- Stream hardware is designed to:
 - Support lots of computation
 - Deliver high data bandwidth
- SIMD nature of Imagine matches OpenGL/DirectX and Reyes shading models

 Goal: Design of efficient algorithms for the stream model results in efficient implementations in special-purpose hw

Stream Framework for Rendering



From Akeley and Hanrahan, *Real-Time Graphics Architectures*

OpenGL in Streaming Model



Reyes in Streaming Model



Summary of Pipeline Differences

- Shading and texturing
 - OpenGL: 2 shaders, 2 coordinate spaces
 - Reyes: Single shader, single coordinate space
- Sampling vs. rasterization
 - OpenGL: rasterizes arbitrary-sized triangles
 - Reyes: samples bounded-sized quads
- Tessellation
 - OpenGL: tessellates in host or at compile time
 - Reyes: tessellates dynamically as part of pipeline

Shading and Texturing

OpenGL

- Vertex shading: eye space
- Fragment shading: screen space
 - Textures require filtering (mipmapping, 8 samples/access)
 - Imagine OpenGL: mipmapped scenes are > 2x slower than pointsampled
- Factoring advantageous for large triangles, but must support two shading units

Reyes

- Vertex/quad shading: eye space
 - Coherent access textures: samples are properly filtered
- Gain ability to shade before pixel coverage calculation: motion blur, depth of field

Sampling vs. Rasterization

- Sampling quads is simpler than rasterizing triangles
 - Quads have bounded size
 - Triangles can have arbitrary size
- Imagine implementations:
 - 8 Gouraud shaded primitives w/ identical coverage
 - Reyes sample: 100 cycles, 548 ops
 - OpenGL rasterize: 565 cycles, 2276 ops
 - More complex shaders need lots of interpolants

Tessellation

OpenGL: compile time, or on host Reyes: runtime

- Adaptive subdivision
- Catmull-Clark subdivision surfaces
- Goals
 - Keep data structure on-chip
 - No global knowledge (i.e. binary dicing)
 - O(log n) storage for n quads (depth first traversal)
- Most traditional subdivision algorithms inapplicable

• Typically limit subdivision differences between levels

• Biggest problem: Ensuring no holes in surface

Ensuring Hole-Free Subdivision

- Quad on right: complete, and output
- Quad on left: must be subdivided
- Potential crack?
- Freeze edges once they fall beneath threshold
- Edges represented as edge equations



Performance

- Reyes scenes order of magnitude slower than OpenGL scenes
- OpenGL scenes:
 - Triangle sizes 2-12 pixels/triangle

• Why?



Runtime Results

- Avg. of 82% of Reyes runtime in subdivision
- Of remainder, about half in shading
- Subdivision produces many zero-frag quads



Reyes: Refining Subdivision

- Possible improvements
 - High-level backface culling
 - Intelligent splitting (x or y, not both)
 - Early quad kill
- Subdivision spectrum adaptive to fixed
 - Our algorithm: fully adaptive
 - Non-adaptive "oracle" subdivision test:
 - Subdivision takes 10% of runtime
 - Ideal algorithm?

Conclusions

 Streaming is a natural way to describe programmable pipeline

- Matches pipeline flow
- Exploits concurrency and locality

 OpenGL and Reyes both fit into streaming framework

• Framework supports either pipeline, or hybrid

• Reyes has several algorithmic advantages ...

 Bounded size primitives, single shader, coherent textures, potential for more sophisticated effects ...

... but subdivision remains a challenge

Thanks to ...

- Stanford Flash Graphics group
- NVIDIA architecture group
- Kurt Akeley and Pat Hanrahan
- Kekoa Proudfoot and Bill Mark
- Matt Pharr
- Funding agencies: DARPA, Intel Foundation, MARCO